

**Unclassified**

**ENV/JM/MONO(2009)41**



Organisation de Coopération et de Développement Économiques  
Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development

**26-Nov-2009**

**English - Or. English**

**ENVIRONMENT DIRECTORATE  
JOINT MEETING OF THE CHEMICALS COMMITTEE AND  
THE WORKING PARTY ON CHEMICALS, PESTICIDES AND BIOTECHNOLOGY**

**ENV/JM/MONO(2009)41  
Unclassified**

**GUIDANCE DOCUMENT ON THE WEANLING HERSHBERGER BIOASSAY IN RATS: A SHORT-TERM SCREENING ASSAY FOR (ANTI)ANDROGENIC PROPERTIES**

**SERIES ON TESTING AND ASSESSMENT  
Number 115**

**JT03275178**

Document complet disponible sur OLIS dans son format d'origine  
Complete document available on OLIS in its original format

**English - Or. English**



**OECD Environment, Health and Safety Publications**

**Series on Testing and Assessment**

**No. 115**

**Guidance Document on the Weanling Hershberger Bioassay in Rats: A Short-Term Screening Assay for (Anti)Androgenic Properties**

**IOMC**

INTER-ORGANIZATION PROGRAMME FOR THE SOUND MANAGEMENT OF CHEMICALS

A cooperative agreement among UNEP, ILO, FAO, WHO, UNIDO, UNITAR and OECD

**Environment Directorate**

**ORGANISATION FOR ECONOMIC CO-OPERATION AND DEVELOPMENT**

**Paris 2009**

**Also published in the Series on Testing and Assessment:**

No. 1, *Guidance Document for the Development of OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals* (1993; reformatted 1995, revised 2006 and 2009)

No. 2, *Detailed Review Paper on Biodegradability Testing* (1995)

No. 3, *Guidance Document for Aquatic Effects Assessment* (1995)

No. 4, *Report of the OECD Workshop on Environmental Hazard/Risk Assessment* (1995)

No. 5, *Report of the SETAC/OECD Workshop on Avian Toxicity Testing* (1996)

No. 6, *Report of the Final Ring-test of the Daphnia magna Reproduction Test* (1997)

No. 7, *Guidance Document on Direct Phototransformation of Chemicals in Water* (1997)

No. 8, *Report of the OECD Workshop on Sharing Information about New Industrial Chemicals Assessment* (1997)

No. 9, *Guidance Document for the Conduct of Studies of Occupational Exposure to Pesticides during Agricultural Application* (1997)

No. 10, *Report of the OECD Workshop on Statistical Analysis of Aquatic Toxicity Data* (1998)

No. 11, *Detailed Review Paper on Aquatic Testing Methods for Pesticides and industrial Chemicals* (1998)

No. 12, *Detailed Review Document on Classification Systems for Germ Cell Mutagenicity in OECD Member Countries* (1998)

No. 13, *Detailed Review Document on Classification Systems for Sensitising Substances in OECD Member Countries* (1998)

No. 14, *Detailed Review Document on Classification Systems for Eye Irritation/Corrosion in OECD Member Countries* (1998)

No. 15, *Detailed Review Document on Classification Systems for Reproductive Toxicity in OECD Member Countries* (1998)

No. 16, *Detailed Review Document on Classification Systems for Skin Irritation/Corrosion in OECD Member Countries* (1998)

No. 17, *Environmental Exposure Assessment Strategies for Existing Industrial Chemicals in OECD Member Countries* (1999)

- No. 18, *Report of the OECD Workshop on Improving the Use of Monitoring Data in the Exposure Assessment of Industrial Chemicals* (2000)
- No. 19, *Guidance Document on the Recognition, Assessment and Use of Clinical Signs as Humane Endpoints for Experimental Animals used in Safety Evaluation* (1999)
- No. 20, *Revised Draft Guidance Document for Neurotoxicity Testing* (2004)
- No. 21, *Detailed Review Paper: Appraisal of Test Methods for Sex Hormone Disrupting Chemicals* (2000)
- No. 22, *Guidance Document for the Performance of Out-door Monolith Lysimeter Studies* (2000)
- No. 23, *Guidance Document on Aquatic Toxicity Testing of Difficult Substances and Mixtures* (2000)
- No. 24, *Guidance Document on Acute Oral Toxicity Testing* (2001)
- No. 25, *Detailed Review Document on Hazard Classification Systems for Specifics Target Organ Systemic Toxicity Repeated Exposure in OECD Member Countries* (2001)
- No. 26, *Revised Analysis of Responses Received from Member Countries to the Questionnaire on Regulatory Acute Toxicity Data Needs* (2001)
- No 27, *Guidance Document on the Use of the Harmonised System for the Classification of Chemicals which are Hazardous for the Aquatic Environment* (2001)
- No 28, *Guidance Document for the Conduct of Skin Absorption Studies* (2004)
- No 29, *Guidance Document on Transformation/Dissolution of Metals and Metal Compounds in Aqueous Media* (2001)
- No 30, *Detailed Review Document on Hazard Classification Systems for Mixtures* (2001)
- No 31, *Detailed Review Paper on Non-Genotoxic Carcinogens Detection: The Performance of In-Vitro Cell Transformation Assays* (2007)
- No. 32, *Guidance Notes for Analysis and Evaluation of Repeat-Dose Toxicity Studies* (2000)

No. 33, *Harmonised Integrated Classification System for Human Health and Environmental Hazards of Chemical Substances and Mixtures* (2001)

No. 34, *Guidance Document on the Development, Validation and Regulatory Acceptance of New and Updated Internationally Acceptable Test Methods in Hazard Assessment* (2005)

No. 35, *Guidance notes for analysis and evaluation of chronic toxicity and carcinogenicity studies* (2002)

No. 36, *Report of the OECD/UNEP Workshop on the use of Multimedia Models for estimating overall Environmental Persistence and long range Transport in the context of PBTS/POPS Assessment* (2002)

No. 37, *Detailed Review Document on Classification Systems for Substances Which Pose an Aspiration Hazard* (2002)

No. 38, *Detailed Background Review of the Uterotrophic Assay Summary of the Available Literature in Support of the Project of the OECD Task Force on Endocrine Disrupters Testing and Assessment (EDTA) to Standardise and Validate the Uterotrophic Assay* (2003)

No. 39, *Guidance Document on Acute Inhalation Toxicity Testing* (2009)

No. 40, *Detailed Review Document on Classification in OECD Member Countries of Substances and Mixtures Which Cause Respiratory Tract Irritation and Corrosion* (2003)

No. 41, *Detailed Review Document on Classification in OECD Member Countries of Substances and Mixtures which in Contact with Water Release Toxic Gases* (2003)

No. 42, *Guidance Document on Reporting Summary Information on Environmental, Occupational and Consumer Exposure* (2003)

No. 43, *Guidance Document on Mammalian Reproductive Toxicity Testing and Assessment* (2008)

No. 44, *Description of Selected Key Generic Terms Used in Chemical Hazard/Risk Assessment* (2003)

No. 45, *Guidance Document on the Use of Multimedia Models for Estimating Overall Environmental Persistence and Long-range Transport* (2004)

No. 46, *Detailed Review Paper on Amphibian Metamorphosis Assay for the Detection of Thyroid Active Substances* (2004)

No. 47, *Detailed Review Paper on Fish Screening Assays for the Detection of Endocrine Active Substances* (2004)

No. 48, *New Chemical Assessment Comparisons and Implications for Work Sharing* (2004)

No. 49, *Report from the Expert Group on (Quantitative) Structure-Activity Relationships [(Q)SARs] on the Principles for the Validation of (Q)SARs* (2004)

No. 50, *Report of the OECD/IPCS Workshop on Toxicogenomics* (2005)

No. 51, *Approaches to Exposure Assessment in OECD Member Countries: Report from the Policy Dialogue on Exposure Assessment in June 2005* (2006)

No. 52, *Comparison of emission estimation methods used in Pollutant Release and Transfer Registers (PRTRs) and Emission Scenario Documents (ESDs): Case study of pulp and paper and textile sectors* (2006)

No. 53, *Guidance Document on Simulated Freshwater Lentic Field Tests (Outdoor Microcosms and Mesocosms)* (2006)

No. 54, *Current Approaches in the Statistical Analysis of Ecotoxicity Data: A Guidance to Application* (2006)

No. 55, *Detailed Review Paper on Aquatic Arthropods in Life Cycle Toxicity Tests with an Emphasis on Developmental, Reproductive and Endocrine Disruptive Effects* (2006)

No. 56, *Guidance Document on the Breakdown of Organic Matter in Litter Bags* (2006)

No. 57, *Detailed Review Paper on Thyroid Hormone Disruption Assays* (2006)

No. 58, *Report on the Regulatory Uses and Applications in OECD Member Countries of (Quantitative) Structure-Activity Relationship [(Q)SAR] Models in the Assessment of New and Existing Chemicals* (2006)

No. 59, *Report of the Validation of the Updated Test Guideline 407: Repeat Dose 28-Day Oral Toxicity Study in Laboratory Rats* (2006)

No. 60, *Report of the Initial Work Towards the Validation of the 21-Day Fish Screening Assay for the Detection of Endocrine Active Substances (Phase 1A)* (2006)

- No. 61, *Report of the Validation of the 21-Day Fish Screening Assay for the Detection of Endocrine Active Substances (Phase 1B) (2006)*
- No. 62, *Final OECD Report of the Initial Work Towards the Validation of the Rat Hershberger Assay: Phase-1, Androgenic Response to Testosterone Propionate, and Anti-Androgenic Effects of Flutamide (2006)*
- No. 63, *Guidance Document on the Definition of Residue (2006, revised 2009)*
- No. 64, *Guidance Document on Overview of Residue Chemistry Studies (2006, revised 2009)*
- No. 65, *OECD Report of the Initial Work Towards the Validation of the Rodent Uterotrophic Assay - Phase 1 (2006)*
- No. 66, *OECD Report of the Validation of the Rodent Uterotrophic Bioassay: Phase 2. Testing of Potent and Weak Oestrogen Agonists by Multiple Laboratories (2006)*
- No. 67, *Additional data supporting the Test Guideline on the Uterotrophic Bioassay in rodents (2007)*
- No. 68, *Summary Report of the Uterotrophic Bioassay Peer Review Panel, including Agreement of the Working Group of the National Coordinators of the Test Guidelines Programme on the follow up of this report (2006)*
- No. 69, *Guidance Document on the Validation of (Quantitative) Structure-Activity Relationship [(Q)SAR] Models (2007)*
- No. 70, *Report on the Preparation of GHS Implementation by the OECD Countries (2007)*
- No. 71, *Guidance Document on the Uterotrophic Bioassay - Procedure to Test for Antioestrogenicity (2007)*
- No. 72, *Guidance Document on Pesticide Residue Analytical Methods (2007)*
- No. 73, *Report of the Validation of the Rat Hershberger Assay: Phase 3: Coded Testing of Androgen Agonists, Androgen Antagonists and Negative Reference Chemicals by Multiple Laboratories. Surgical Castrate Model Protocol (2007)*
- No. 74, *Detailed Review Paper for Avian Two-generation Toxicity Testing (2007)*
- No. 75, *Guidance Document on the Honey Bee (Apis Mellifera L.) Brood test Under Semi-field Conditions (2007)*

No. 76, *Final Report of the Validation of the Amphibian Metamorphosis Assay for the Detection of Thyroid Active Substances: Phase 1 - Optimisation of the Test Protocol* (2007)

No. 77, *Final Report of the Validation of the Amphibian Metamorphosis Assay: Phase 2 - Multi-chemical Interlaboratory Study* (2007)

No. 78, *Final Report of the Validation of the 21-day Fish Screening Assay for the Detection of Endocrine Active Substances. Phase 2: Testing Negative Substances* (2007)

No. 79, *Validation Report of the Full Life-cycle Test with the Harpacticoid Copepods Nitocra Spinipes and Amphiascus Tenuiremis and the Calanoid Copepod Acartia Tonsa - Phase 1* (2007)

No. 80, *Guidance on Grouping of Chemicals* (2007)

No. 81, *Summary Report of the Validation Peer Review for the Updated Test Guideline 407, and Agreement of the Working Group of National Coordinators of the Test Guidelines Programme on the follow-up of this report* (2007)

No. 82, *Guidance Document on Amphibian Thyroid Histology* (2007)

No. 83, *Summary Report of the Peer Review Panel on the Stably Transfected Transcriptional Activation Assay for Detecting Estrogenic Activity of Chemicals, and Agreement of the Working Group of the National Coordinators of the Test Guidelines Programme on the Follow-up of this Report* (2007)

No. 84, *Report on the Workshop on the Application of the GHS Classification Criteria to HPV Chemicals, 5-6 July Bern Switzerland* (2007)

No. 85, *Report of the Validation Peer Review for the Hershberger Bioassay, and Agreement of the Working Group of the National Coordinators of the Test Guidelines Programme on the Follow-up of this Report* (2007)

No. 86, *Report of the OECD Validation of the Rodent Hershberger Bioassay: Phase 2: Testing of Androgen Agonists, Androgen Antagonists and a 5  $\alpha$ -Reductase Inhibitor in Dose Response Studies by Multiple Laboratories* (2008)

No. 87, *Report of the Ring Test and Statistical Analysis of Performance of the Guidance on Transformation/Dissolution of Metals and Metal Compounds in Aqueous Media (Transformation/Dissolution Protocol)* (2008)

- No.88 *Workshop on Integrated Approaches to Testing and Assessment* (2008)
- No.89 *Retrospective Performance Assessment of the Test Guideline 426 on Developmental Neurotoxicity* (2008)
- No.90 *Background Review Document on the Rodent Hershberger Bioassay* (2008)
- No.91 *Report of the Validation of the Amphibian Metamorphosis Assay (Phase 3)* (2008)
- No.92 *Report of the Validation Peer Review for the Amphibian Metamorphosis Assay and Agreement of the Working Group of the National Coordinators of the Test Guidelines Programme on the Follow-Up of this Report* (2008)
- No.93 *Report of the Validation of an Enhancement of OECD TG 211: Daphnia Magna Reproduction Test* (2008)
- No.94 *Report of the Validation Peer Review for the 21-Day Fish Endocrine Screening Assay and Agreement of the Working Group of the National Coordinators of the Test Guidelines Programme on the Follow-up of this Report* (2008)
- No.95 *Detailed Review Paper on Fish Life-Cycle Tests* (2008)
- No.96 *Guidance Document on Magnitude of Pesticide Residues in Processed Commodities* (2008)
- No.97 *Detailed Review Paper on the use of Metabolising Systems for In Vitro Testing of Endocrine Disruptors* (2008)
- No. 98 *Considerations Regarding Applicability of the Guidance on Transformation/Dissolution of Metals Compounds in Aqueous Media (Transformation/Dissolution Protocol)* (2008)
- No. 99 *Comparison between OECD Test Guidelines and ISO Standards in the Areas of Ecotoxicology and Health Effects* (2008)
- No.100 *Report of the Second Survey on Available Omics Tools* (2009)
- No.101 *Report on the Workshop on Structural Alerts for the OECD (Q)SAR Application Toolbox* (2009)
- No.102 *Guidance Document for using the OECD (Q)SAR Application Toolbox to Develop Chemical Categories According to the OECD Guidance on Grouping of Chemicals* (2009)
- No.103 *Detailed Review Paper on Transgenic Rodent Mutation Assays* (2009)

No.104 *Performance Assessment: Comparison of 403 and CxT Protocols via Simulation and for Selected Real Data Sets (2009)*

No. 105 *Report on Biostatistical Performance Assessment of the draft TG 436 Acute Toxic Class Testing Method for Acute Inhalation Toxicity (2009)*

No.106 *Guidance Document for Histologic Evaluation of Endocrine and Reproductive Test in Rodents*

No.107 *Preservative treated wood to the environment for wood held in storage after treatment and for wooden commodities that are not cover and are not in contact with ground. (2009)*

No.108 *Report of the validation of the Hershberger Bioassay (weanling model) (2009)*

No. 109 *Literature review on the 21-Day Fish Assay and the Fish Short-Term Reproduction Assay (2009)*

No. 110 *Report of the validation peer review for the weanling Hershberger Bioassay and agreement of the working of national coordinators of the test guidelines programme on the follow-up of this report (2009)*

No. 111 *Report of the Expert Consultation to Evaluate an Estrogen Receptor Binding Affinity Model for Hazard Identification (2009)*

No. 112 *The 2007 OECD List of High Production Volume Chemicals (2009)*

**© OECD 2009**

Applications for permission to reproduce or translate all or part of this material should be made to: Head of Publications Service, OECD, 2 rue André-Pascal, 75775 Paris Cedex 16, France

## ABOUT THE OECD

The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) is an intergovernmental organisation in which representatives of 30 industrialised countries in North America, Europe and the Asia and Pacific region, as well as the European Commission, meet to co-ordinate and harmonise policies, discuss issues of mutual concern, and work together to respond to international problems. Most of the OECD's work is carried out by more than 200 specialised committees and working groups composed of member country delegates. Observers from several countries with special status at the OECD, and from interested international organisations, attend many of the OECD's workshops and other meetings. Committees and working groups are served by the OECD Secretariat, located in Paris, France, which is organised into directorates and divisions.

The Environment, Health and Safety Division publishes free-of-charge documents in ten different series: **Testing and Assessment; Good Laboratory Practice and Compliance Monitoring; Pesticides and Biocides; Risk Management; Harmonisation of Regulatory Oversight in Biotechnology; Safety of Novel Foods and Feeds; Chemical Accidents; Pollutant Release and Transfer Registers; Emission Scenario Documents; and the Safety of Manufactured Nanomaterials.** More information about the Environment, Health and Safety Programme and EHS publications is available on the OECD's World Wide Web site (<http://www.oecd.org/ehs/>).

**This publication was developed in the IOMC context. The contents do not necessarily reflect the views or stated policies of individual IOMC Participating Organizations.**

**The Inter-Organisation Programme for the Sound Management of Chemicals (IOMC) was established in 1995 following recommendations made by the 1992 UN Conference on Environment and Development to strengthen co-operation and increase international co-ordination in the field of chemical safety. The participating organisations are FAO, ILO, OECD, UNEP, UNIDO, UNITAR and WHO. The World Bank and UNDP are observers. The purpose of the IOMC is to promote co-ordination of the policies and activities pursued by the Participating Organisations, jointly or separately, to achieve the sound management of chemicals in relation to human health and the environment.**

**This publication is available electronically, at no charge.**

**For this and many other Environment,  
Health and Safety publications, consult the OECD's  
World Wide Web site ([www.oecd.org/ehs/](http://www.oecd.org/ehs/))**

**or contact:**

**OECD Environment Directorate,  
Environment, Health and Safety Division**

**2 rue André-Pascal  
75775 Paris Cedex 16  
France**

**Fax: (33-1) 44 30 61 80**

**E-mail: [ehscont@oecd.org](mailto:ehscont@oecd.org)**

## FOREWORD

The OECD initiated a high-priority activity in 1998 to revise existing Test Guidelines (TG) and to develop new Guidelines for the screening and testing of potential endocrine disrupters. One element of the activity was to develop a TG for the rat Hershberger Bioassay. The rat Hershberger Bioassay then underwent an extensive validation programme. Documents N° 62, 73, 85, 86, 90, 108 and 110, published in the Series on Testing and Assessment, all refer to this assay.

The primary model for the Hershberger bioassay has been the surgically castrated peripubertal male, which was validated in Phases 1, 2 and 3 of the Hershberger validation program. The castrate version of the bioassay is the subject of the OECD TG 441. Due to animal welfare concerns with the castration procedure, the intact (uncastrated) stimulated weanling male test method was developed and entered the validation process as an alternative to the castrate version with the intention of including both versions in Test Guideline 441. However, in the validation studies, the intact stimulatory weanling version of the Hershberger bioassay did not appear to be able to consistently detect effects on androgen-dependent organ weights from weak anti-androgens at the doses tested. As a result, the weanling procedure was placed in this OECD Guidance Document to preserve it for use as an alternative to the castrate procedure under appropriate circumstances.

The draft Guidance document was approved, by written procedure, by the Working Group of National Coordinators of the Test Guidelines Programme in September 2009.

This document is published on the responsibility of the Joint Meeting of the Chemicals Committee and Working Party on Chemicals, Pesticides and Biotechnology.

Contact for further details:  
Environment, Health and Safety Division  
Environment Directorate  
Organisation for Economic Co-Operation and Development  
2, rue André Pascal  
75775 Paris Cedex 16, France  
Tel : 33-1-45-24-16-74  
E.mail : env.edcontact@oecd.org

## **Guidance Document on the Weanling Hershberger Bioassay in Rats: A Short-term Screening Assay for (Anti)Androgenic Properties**

### **INTRODUCTION**

1. The OECD initiated a high-priority activity in 1998 to revise existing guidelines and to develop new guidelines for the screening and testing of potential endocrine disruptors (1). One element of the activity was to develop a Test Guideline for the rat Hershberger Bioassay. The Hershberger Bioassay is a short-term *in vivo* screening test using accessory tissues of the male reproductive tract. The assay originated in the 1930's and was modified in the 1940's to include androgen-responsive muscles in the male reproductive tract (2) (9-15). In the 1960s, over 700 possible androgens were evaluated using a standardized version of the protocol (2) (14), and use of the assay for both androgens and antiandrogens was considered a standard method in the 1960s (2) (15). After several decades of use by the pharmaceutical industry, this assay was first standardized by an official expert committee in 1962 as a screening tool for androgenic chemicals (2). In 2001-2007, the rat Hershberger Bioassay has undergone an extensive validation programme including the generation of a Background Review Document (25), compilation of a detailed methods paper (3), development of a dissection guide and the conduct of extensive intra- and interlaboratory studies to show the reliability and reproducibility of the bioassay. These validation studies were conducted with a potent reference androgen (testosterone propionate (TP)), two potent synthetic androgens (trenbolone acetate and methyl testosterone), a potent antiandrogenic pharmaceutical (flutamide), a potent inhibitor of the enzyme 5 $\alpha$  reductase and thus inhibitor of the conversion of testosterone to dihydrotestosterone (DHT) (which is the more potent androgen in some target tissues (finasteride) , several weakly antiandrogenic pesticides (linuron, vinclozolin, procymidone, p,p' DDE), and two known negative chemicals (dinitrophenol and nonylphenol) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (26). Test Guideline 441 and this Guidance Document are the outcome of the long historical experience with the bioassay and the experience gained during the validation test programme and the results obtained therein.

2. The primary model for the Hershberger bioassay has been the surgically castrated peripubertal male, which was validated in Phases 1, 2 and 3 of the Hershberger validation program. The castrate version of the bioassay, which is the subject of Test Guideline 441, is based on the changes in weight of five androgen-dependent tissues in the castrate-peripubertal male rat. It evaluates the ability of a chemical to elicit biological activities consistent with androgen agonists, antagonists or 5  $\alpha$ -reductase inhibitors. The five androgen-dependent tissues included in Test Guideline 441 (27) are the ventral prostate (VP), seminal vesicle (SV) (plus fluids and coagulating glands), levator ani-bulbocavernosus (LABC) muscle, paired Cowper's glands (COW) and the glans penis (GP). In the castrate-peripubertal male rat, these five tissues all respond to androgens with an increase in absolute weight. When these same tissues are stimulated to increase in weight by administration of a potent reference androgen, these five tissues all respond to antiandrogens with a decrease in absolute weight.

3. Due to animal welfare concerns with the castration procedure, the intact (uncastrated) stimulated weanling male test method was developed and entered the validation process as an alternative to the castrate version with the intention of including both versions in Test Guideline 441. However, in the validation studies, the intact stimulatory weanling version of the Hershberger bioassay did not appear to be able to consistently detect effects on androgen-dependent organ weights from weak anti-androgens at the doses tested (See paragraph 11). As a result, the weanling procedure was placed in this OECD Guidance Document to preserve it for use as an alternative to the castrate procedure under appropriate circumstances.

In the stimulated intact weanling male model, the ventral prostate (VP), seminal vesicles (SV plus fluids and coagulating glands), LABC muscle and Cowpers (COW, bulbourethral) glands and epididymides (since the animals are non-castrated males) should be measured. Testes weight is an optional measurement. The list does not include the glans penis (GP) since the weanling male has not yet achieved preputial separation (i.e., the prepuce cannot be separated from the penile shaft in the male's sexually immature state).

4. The Hershberger bioassay serves as a mechanistic *in vivo* screening assay for androgen agonists, androgen antagonists and 5 $\alpha$  reductase inhibitors and its application should be seen in the context of the "OECD Conceptual Framework for the Testing and Assessment of Endocrine Disrupting Chemicals" (Annex 2). In this Conceptual Framework, the Hershberger Bioassay is contained in Level 3 as an *in vivo* assay providing data about a single endocrine mechanism, i.e. (anti)androgenicity. It is intended to be included in a battery of *in vitro* and *in vivo* tests to identify substances with potential to interact with the endocrine system, ultimately leading to hazard and risk assessments for human health or the environment.

## **INITIAL CONSIDERATIONS AND LIMITATIONS**

5. Androgen agonists and antagonists act as ligands for the androgen receptor and may activate or inhibit, respectively, gene transcription controlled by the receptor. In addition, some chemicals inhibit the conversion of testosterone to the more potent natural androgen dihydrotestosterone in some androgen target tissues (5 $\alpha$ -reductase inhibitors). Such substances have the potential to lead to adverse health hazards, including reproductive and developmental effects. Therefore, the regulatory need exists to rapidly assess and evaluate a chemical as a possible androgen agonist or antagonist or 5 $\alpha$ -reductase inhibitor. While informative, the affinity of a ligand for an androgen receptor or transcriptional activation of reporter genes *in vitro* is not the only determinant of possible hazard. Other determinants include metabolic activation and deactivation upon entering the body, substance distribution to target tissues, and clearance from the body. This leads to the need to screen the possible activity of a chemical *in vivo* under relevant conditions and exposure. *In vivo* evaluation is less critical if the chemical's characteristics regarding Absorption – Distribution – Metabolism – Elimination (ADME) are known. Androgen-dependent tissues respond with rapid and vigorous growth to stimulation by androgens, particularly in castrate-peripubertal male rats. Rodent species, particularly the rat, are also widely used in toxicity studies for hazard characterization. Therefore, the assay version using the castrated peripubertal rat (with its five target tissues) is appropriate for the *in vivo* screening of androgen agonists and antagonists and 5 $\alpha$ -reductase inhibitors. The assay version with the stimulated intact weanling male (with the six target tissues) is also appropriate for the *in vivo* screening of strong and moderate androgen agonists/antagonists, and 5 $\alpha$ -reductase inhibitors.

6. On the basis of available data (including for example: read across, QSAR, *in vitro* AR binding, and transactivation assays), if it is suspected that a compound is a weak AR (ant)agonist or its potential to interact with the AR is unknown, it is preferable to conduct the castrate Hershberger version in Test Guideline 441, because of its greater sensitivity, and, in this way, reduce the likelihood of false negatives or of further or repetitive Hershberger assay testing for screening. Where substances are clearly known to be more potent, but *in vivo* Hershberger assay testing is still required by a regulatory authority, then for animal welfare reasons the weanling version may be used. It is critical to use sufficiently high doses of test chemical with the weanling version of the assay to compensate for its lower sensitivity in order to avoid false negatives. A rationale should be provided for the use of the weanling model.

7. This Guidance Document is based on those protocols employed in the OECD validation study which have been shown to be reliable and reproducible in intra- and interlaboratory studies (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (25) (26). Both androgen and antiandrogen procedures are presented in this Guidance Document.

8. The growth response of the individual androgen-dependent tissues is not entirely of androgenic origin, i.e. compounds other than androgen agonists can alter the weight of certain tissues. However, the growth response of several tissues concomitantly substantiates a more androgen-specific mechanism. For example, high doses of potent estrogens can increase the weight of the seminal vesicles; however, the other androgen-dependent tissues in the assay do not respond in a similar manner. Antiandrogenic chemicals can act either as androgen receptor antagonists or 5 $\alpha$ -reductase inhibitors. 5 $\alpha$ -reductase inhibitors have a variable effect, because the conversion to more potent dihydrotestosterone varies by tissue. Antiandrogens that inhibit 5 $\alpha$ -reductase, like finasteride, have more pronounced effects in the ventral prostate than other tissues as compared to a potent AR antagonist, like flutamide. This difference in tissue response can be used to differentiate between AR mediated and 5 $\alpha$ -reductase mediated modes of action. In addition, the androgen receptor is evolutionarily related to that of other steroid hormones, and some other hormones, when administered at high, supraphysiological dosage levels, can bind and antagonize the growth-promoting effects of TP (13). Further, it also is plausible that enhanced steroid metabolism and a consequent lowering of serum testosterone could reduce androgen-dependent tissue growth. Therefore, any positive outcome in the Hershberger Bioassay should normally be evaluated using a weight of evidence approach, including *in vitro* assays, such as the AR and ER binding assays and corresponding transcriptional activation assays, or from other *in vivo* assays that examine similar androgen target tissues such as the male pubertal assay, 15-day intact adult male assay, or 28-day or 90-day repeat dose studies.

9. Experience indicates that xenobiotic androgens are rarer than xenobiotic antiandrogens. The expectation then is that the Hershberger bioassay will be used most often for the screening of antiandrogens. However, the procedure to test for androgens could, nevertheless, be recommended for steroidal or steroid-like chemicals or for chemicals for which an indication of possible androgenic effects was derived from in-development methods contained in Level 1 or 2 of the conceptual framework (Annex 2). Similarly, adverse effects associated with (anti)androgenic profiles may be observed in Level 5 assays, leading to the need to assess whether a substance operates by an endocrine mode of action.

10. Biological plausibility suggests and the validation data show that the stimulated weanling and the surgically castrated versions of the Hershberger bioassay respond in a qualitatively similar manner. In both versions, growth of the target mandatory tissues is controlled through the androgen receptor, and testosterone is converted to dihydrotestosterone by the 5 $\alpha$ -reductase enzyme. The same male reproductive tract tissues can be employed, with the exception of the GP, testes, and epididymides as noted above.

11. There are, however, intrinsic differences to be noted between the stimulated weanling and the surgically castrated male - differences which explain the lower sensitivity of the weanling model. The key differences in the stimulated weanling are the low prepubertal circulating levels of testosterone produced by the testes and the potential ability of the intact hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis to respond and compensate to some degree to chemical insult. The OECD validation programme demonstrated that the weanling model was less responsive than the castrate version of the assay especially with respect to the response of the ventral prostate and Cowper's glands to weak antiandrogens and, thus, required higher doses for statistical significance. For further background, there are reviews and recent manuscripts that trace the development of the male rat for both hormonal levels and the growth of reproductive tract tissues prior to puberty (17, 25). It should be noted that the postnatal day (pnd) 20-35 time period in the male is later than and, therefore, not fully analogous to the female. There are no dramatic surges in the male in testosterone or LH levels or tissue growth in this prepubertal time period. The change and rearrangement of the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis is more gradual in circulating FSH, LH, testosterone, and 5 $\alpha$ -reduced steroids. At the same time, just as this is a period of rapid overall growth in the animal, the weights of the testes and the relevant tissues of the reproductive tract are also increasing in absolute terms. The increase *relative to body weight* for these tissues is rather modest and constant, indicating no disproportionate surge in growth.

12. It is acknowledged that all animal-based procedures should conform to local standards of animal care; the descriptions of care and treatment set forth below are minimal performance standards, and will be superseded by local regulations. Further guidance of the humane treatment of animals is given by the OECD (18).

13. As in any bioassay using experimental animals, careful considerations should be given to the necessity to carry out this study. Basically there may be two reasons for carrying out a Hershberger Bioassay:

- High exposure potential (Level 1 of the Conceptual Framework) or indications for (anti)androgenicity in *in vitro* assays (Level 2) supporting investigations whether such effects may occur *in vivo*.
- Effects consistent with (anti)androgenicity in Level 4 or 5 *in vivo* tests supporting investigations of the specific mode of action, e.g., were the effects due to an (anti)androgenic mechanism.

14. Definitions used in this Guidance Document are given in Annex 1.

## **PRINCIPLE OF THE TEST**

15. The Hershberger Bioassay achieves its sensitivity by using males with minimal endogenous androgen production. This is achieved either through the use of castrated males, provided an adequate time after castration for the target tissues to regress to a minimal and uniform baseline weight is allowed, or by the use of weanling males in which there is minimal endogenous androgen production. Thus, when screening of potential androgenic activity, there are low endogenous levels of circulating androgens, the hypothalamic-pituitary- gonad axis is unable to compensate via feedback mechanisms, the ability of the tissues to respond is maximized, and the starting tissue weight variability is minimized. When screening of potential anti-androgenic activity, a more consistent tissue weight gain can be achieved when the tissues are stimulated by a reference androgen. As a result, the Hershberger Bioassay requires only 6 animals per dose group whereas other assays with intact pubertal or adult males suggest using 15 males per dose group.

16. Accessory sex tissues and glands depend upon androgen stimulation to gain and maintain weight during and after puberty. In the intact weanling version of the assay, when endogenous sources of androgen are low (the weanling before puberty), the biological activity of exogenous substances can be assayed by the increase (agonist response) in the weights of these sex accessory tissues or by blocking (antagonist response) the activity of administered androgens and thereby preventing an increase in the weights of these sex accessory tissues. The intact stimulated weanling rat assay also evaluates the ability of a chemical to show biological activities consistent with the agonism or antagonism of androgens (e.g., testosterone and dihydrotestosterone). In fact, testosterone is required at puberty to induce masculinization of the levator ani muscle (13)(19).

17. The available data indicate that the androgen-dependent sex accessory tissues of the weanling are sensitive to androgens. This is plausible as these animals have both androgen receptors and appropriate steroidogenic enzymes necessary for agonist, antagonist, and 5 $\alpha$ -reductase inhibitor responses. In addition to sensitivity, the weanling rodent sex accessory tissues have small relative weights.

18. When screening for potential androgenic activity, the test substance is administered daily by oral gavage or subcutaneous injection for a period of ten consecutive days. Test substances are administered to a minimum of two treatment groups of experimental animals using one dose level per group. The animals are necropsied approximately 24 hours after the last dose. A statistically significant change (increase, or decrease in the case of testis) in two or more target organ weights of the test substance groups compared to the vehicle control group indicates that the test substance is positive for potential androgenic activity (See

paragraph 61). Androgens, like trenbolone that cannot be 5 $\alpha$ - reduced have more pronounced effects on the LABC and GP versus TP, but all tissues should display increased growth (decreased growth in the case of testis).

19. When screening for potential antiandrogenic activity, the test substance is administered daily by oral gavage or subcutaneous injection for a period of ten consecutive days in concert with daily TP doses (1.0 mg/kg/d) by subcutaneous injection. Graduated test substance doses are administered to a minimum of three treatment groups of experimental animals using one dose level per group. The animals are necropsied approximately 24 hours after the last dose. A statistically significant decrease (increase in the case of testis) in two or more target organ weights of the test substance plus TP groups compared to the TP only control group indicates that the test substance is positive for potential antiandrogenic activity (See paragraph 62).

## **DESCRIPTION OF THE METHOD**

### **Selection of species and strain**

20. The rat has been routinely used in the Hershberger Bioassay since the 1930s. Although it is biologically plausible that both the rat and mouse would display similar responses, based upon 70 years of experience with the rat model, the rat is the species of choice for the Hershberger Bioassay. In addition, since Hershberger Bioassay data may be preliminary to a long-term multigenerational study, this allows animals from the same species, strain and source to be used in both studies.

21. This protocol allows laboratories to select the strain of rat to be used in the assay, which should generally be that used historically by the participating laboratory. Commonly used laboratory rat strains may be used; however, it is recommended that strains derived from the Fisher 344 rat should not generally be used because the Fisher 344 rat has a different timing of sexual development compared with other more commonly used strains such as Sprague Dawley or Wistar strains (16). The rationale for the choice of rat strain should be clearly stated by the laboratory. Where the screening assay may be preliminary to a repeated dose oral study, a reproductive and developmental study, or a long-term study, preferably animals from the same strain and source should be used in all studies.

### **Housing and feeding conditions**

22. All procedures should conform to all local standards of laboratory animal care. These descriptions of care and treatment are minimum standards and will be superseded by more stringent local regulations, when present. The temperature in the experimental animal room should be 22°C (with an approximate range  $\pm$  3°C). The relative humidity should be a minimum of 30% and preferably should not exceed a maximum 70%, other than during room cleaning. The aim should be relative humidity of 50-60%. Lighting should be artificial. The daily lighting sequence should be 12 hours light, 12 hours dark.

23. For the intact weanling male version of the assay, weanling animals should be caged in groups of 3 to 6 similarly treated animals per cage. Cages of a proper size (~2000 square centimeters) will prevent overcrowding. Cages should be thoroughly cleaned to remove possible contaminants and arranged in such a way that possible effects due to cage placement are minimized.

24. Each animal should be identified individually (e.g., ear mark or tag) using a humane method. The method of identification should be recorded.

25. Laboratory diet and drinking water should be provided *ad libitum*. Laboratories executing the Hershberger Bioassay should use the laboratory diet normally used in their chemical testing work. In the

validation studies of the Bioassay, no effects or variability were observed that were attributable to the diet. The diet used will be recorded and a sample of the laboratory diet should be retained for possible future analysis.

### **Performance Criteria for androgen-dependent organ weights**

26. During the validation study, there was no evidence that a decrease in body weight affected increases or decreases in the growth of the mandatory tissue weights.

27. Among the different strains of rat used successfully in the validation programme, androgen-dependent organ weights are larger in the heavier rat strains than in the lighter strains. Therefore, the Hershberger Bioassay performance criteria do not include absolute expected organ weights for positive and negative controls.

28. Because the Coefficient of Variation (CV) for a tissue has an inverse relationship with statistical power, the Hershberger Bioassay performance criteria are based on maximum CV values for each tissue (Table 1). The CVs are derived from the OECD validation studies. In the case of negative outcomes, laboratories should examine the CVs from the control group and the high dose treatment group to determine if the maximum CV performance criteria have been exceeded.

29. The study should be repeated when: 1) three or more of the possible individual CVs in the control and high dose treatment groups exceed the maximums designated for agonist and antagonist studies in Table 1 and 2) at least two target tissues were marginally insignificant, i.e.,  $p$  values between 0.05 and 0.10.

**Table 1: Maximum allowable CVs Determined for the Mandatory and optional Sex Accessory Tissues in the weanling model in the OECD Validation Studies<sup>1</sup>**

<b>Tissue</b>	<b>Antiandrogenic effects</b>	<b>Androgenic effects</b>
Seminal vesicles	40%	40%
Ventral prostate	40%	45%
LABC	20%	30%
Cowper's glands	35%	55%
Epididymides	18%	15%
Testes (optional)	34%	18%

<sup>1</sup> The threshold CV for a given tissue was identified from a graph of CV values - arranged from smallest sequentially to largest - for all means from all experiments in the validation exercise using a specific model (agonist or antagonist). The threshold CV was read from the point at which the increments between to the next highest CVs in the series are dramatically larger than the preceding few CV.s- the "breakpoint". It should be noted that although this analysis identified relatively reliable "breakpoints" for the antagonist model of the assay, CV curves for the agonist assay showed a more uniform increase making identification of a threshold CV by this method somewhat arbitrary.

## **PROCEDURE**

### **Regulatory compliance and laboratory verification**

30. If the assay is to be conducted for regulatory purposes, it should be conducted in conformity with the OECD Good Laboratory Practice and Quality Assurance Procedures (20). Unlike the uterotrophic assay, a demonstration of laboratory competence prior to the initiation of the study is not necessary for the

Hershberger assay because concurrent positive (Testosterone Propionate and Flutamide) and negative controls are run as an integral part of the assay.

### **Number and condition of animals**

31. Each treated and control group should include a minimum of 6 animals. This applies to both the androgenic and antiandrogenic protocols.

### **Age and acclimatization of weanling males**

32. The young weanling animals are to be employed in a relatively small time window between weaning and before puberty, *i.e.*, postnatal days (pnd) 20 to 34. Animals should be observed daily, and any animals with evidence of disease or physical abnormalities should be removed. The treatment with initiation of dosing (on study) may commence as early as 21 days of age (pnd21), but preferably not later than pnd 24. This allows a laboratory some flexibility to schedule the experimental work efficiently.

### **Body weight and group randomization**

33. Differences in individual body weights are a source of variability in tissue weights both within and among groups of animals. Increasing tissue weight variability results in an increased coefficient of variation (CV) and decreases the statistical power of the assay (sometimes referred to as assay sensitivity). Therefore, variations in body weight should be both experimentally and statistically controlled.

34. Experimental control involves producing small variations in body weight within and among the study groups. First, unusually small or large animals should be avoided and not placed in the study cohort. At study commencement the weight variation of animals used should not exceed  $\pm 20\%$  of the mean weight (e.g.  $50\text{g} \pm 10\text{g}$ ). Second, animals should be assigned to groups (both control and treatment) by randomized weight distribution, so that mean body weight of each group is not statistically different from any other group. The block randomization procedure used should be recorded.

35. Because toxicity may decrease the body weight of treated groups relative to the control group, the body weight on the first day of test substance administration could be used as the statistical covariate, not the body weight at necropsy.

### **Dosage**

36. In order to establish whether a test substance can have androgenic action *in vivo*, two dose groups of the test substance plus positive and vehicle (negative) controls (See paragraph 45) are normally sufficient, and this design is therefore preferred for animal welfare reasons. If the purpose is either to obtain a dose-response curve or to extrapolate to lower doses, at least 3 dose groups are needed. If information beyond identification of androgenic activity (such as an estimate of potency) is required, a different dosing regime should be considered. To test for antiandrogens, the test substance is administered together with a reference androgen agonist. A minimum of 3 test groups with different doses of the test chemical and a positive and a negative control (See paragraph 46) should be used. Except for treatment with the test substance, animals in the control group should be handled in an identical manner to the test group subjects. If a vehicle is used in administering the test substance, the control group should receive the vehicle in the highest volume used with the test groups.

37. All dose levels should be proposed and selected taking into account any existing toxicity and (toxico-) kinetic data available for the test substance or related materials. The highest dose level should first take into consideration the  $\text{LD}_{50}$  and/or acute toxicity information in order to avoid death, severe suffering or

distress in the animals (18) (20) (21) (22) and second take into consideration available information on the doses used in subchronic and chronic studies. In general, the highest dose should not cause a reduction in the final body weight of the animals greater than 10% of control weight. The highest dose should be either the highest dose that ensures animal survival and that is without significant toxicity or distress to the animals after 10 consecutive days of administration up to a maximal dose of 1000 mg/kg/day (See paragraph 38) or a dose inducing (anti)androgenic effects, whichever is lower. As a screen, large intervals, e.g., one half log units (corresponding to a dose progression of 3.2) or even one log units, between dosages are acceptable. If there are no suitable data available, a range finding study (See paragraph 39) may be performed to aid the determination of the doses to be used. It is especially important to achieve a sufficiently high dose in the weanling procedure due to its lower sensitivity compared to the castrate model.

### **Limit dose level**

38. If a test at the limit dose of 1000 mg/kg body weight/day and a lower dose using the procedures described for this study, fails to produce a statistically significant change in reproductive organ weights, then additional dose levels may be considered unnecessary. The limit dose applies except when human exposure data indicate the need for a higher dose level to be used.

### **Considerations for range finding**

39. If necessary, a preliminary range finding study can be carried out with few animals to select the appropriate dose groups [for general guidance on range finding studies, consult the OECD Test Guidelines 420, 423, and 425)]. The objective in the case of the Hershberger Bioassay is to select doses that ensure animal survival and that are without significant toxicity or distress to the animals after ten consecutive days of chemical administration up to a limit dose of 1000 mg/kg/d as noted in paragraphs 37, 38. In this respect the OECD Guidance Document (18), which defines clinical signs indicative of toxicity or distress to the animals, may be used. If feasible within this range finding study after ten days of administration, the mandatory target tissues may be excised and weighed approximately 24-hours after the last dose is administered. These data could then be used to assist the selection of the doses in the main study.

### **Reference substances and vehicle**

40. The reference androgen agonist should be Testosterone Propionate (TP), CAS No 57-82-5. The reference TP dosage should be 1.0 mg/kg-bw/d. The reference androgen antagonist should be Flutamide (FT), CAS No 1311-84-7. The reference FT dosage should be 3 mg/kg-bw/d, and the FT should be coadministered with the reference TP dosage.

41. It is recommended that, wherever possible, the use of an aqueous solution/suspension is considered first. However, since many androgen ligands or their metabolic precursors tend to be hydrophobic, the most common approach is to use a solution/suspension in oil (e.g. corn, peanut, sesame or olive oil). Test substances can be dissolved in a minimal amount of 95% ethanol or other appropriate solvents and diluted to final working concentrations in the test vehicle. The toxic characteristics of the solvent must be known, and should be tested in a separate solvent-only control group. If the test substance is considered stable, gentle heating and vigorous mechanical action can be used to assist in dissolving the test substance. The stability of the test substance in the vehicle should be determined. If the test substance is stable for the duration of the study, then one starting aliquot of the test substance may be prepared, and the specified dosage dilutions prepared daily using care to avoid contamination and spoilage of the samples.

### **Administration of doses**

42. TP should be administered by subcutaneous injection and FT by oral gavage.

43. The test substance is administered by oral gavage or subcutaneous injection. Animal welfare considerations and the physical/chemical properties of the test substance need to be taken into account when choosing the route of administration. In addition, toxicological aspects like the relevance to the human route of exposure to the chemical (e.g., oral gavage to model ingestion; subcutaneous injection as a surrogate for dermal and inhalation to avoid first pass metabolism ) and existing toxicological information and data on metabolism and kinetics (e.g. need to avoid first pass metabolism, better efficiency via a particular route) should be taken into account before extensive, long-term testing is initiated if positive results are obtained by injection.

44. The animals should be dosed in the same manner and time sequence for ten consecutive days at approximately 24 hour intervals. The dosage level should be adjusted daily based on the concurrent daily measures of body weight. The volume of dose and time when it is administered should be recorded on each day of exposure. Care must be taken in order not to exceed the maximum dose described in paragraph 37 to allow a meaningful interpretation of the data. Reduction of body weight, clinical signs, and other findings should be thoroughly assessed in this respect. For oral gavage, a stomach tube or a suitable intubation cannula should be used. The maximum volume of liquid that can be administered at one time depends on the size of the test animal. Local animal care guidelines should be followed, but the volume should not exceed 5 ml/kg body weight, except in the case of aqueous solutions where 10 ml/kg body weight may be used. For subcutaneous injections, doses should be administered to the dorsoscapular and/or lumbar regions via sterile needle (e.g. 23- or 25-gauge) and a tuberculin syringe. Shaving the injection site is optional. Any losses, leakage at the injection site or incomplete dosing should be recorded. The total volume injected per rat per day should not exceed 0.5 ml/kg body weight.

#### **Specific procedures for androgen agonists**

45. For tests for androgen agonists, the vehicle is the negative control, and the TP-treated group is the positive control. Biological activity consistent with androgen agonists is tested by administering a test substance to treatment groups at the selected doses for 10 consecutive days. The weights of the target tissues from the test substance groups are compared to the vehicle group for statistically significant increases in weight.

#### **Specific procedures for androgen antagonists and 5 $\alpha$ -reductase inhibitors**

46. For tests for androgen antagonists and 5 $\alpha$ -reductase inhibitors, the TP-treated group is the negative control, and the group coadministered with reference doses of TP and FT is the positive control. Biological activity consistent with androgen antagonists and 5 $\alpha$ -reductase inhibitors is tested by administering a reference dose of TP and administering the test substance for 10 consecutive days. The weights of the target tissues from the TP plus test substance groups are compared to the reference TP-only group for statistically significant decreases in weights.

### **OBSERVATIONS**

#### **Clinical observations**

47. General clinical observations should be made at least once a day and more frequently when signs of toxicity are observed. Observations should be carried out preferably at the same time(s) each day and considering the period of anticipated peak effects after dosing. All animals should be observed for mortality, morbidity and general clinical signs such as changes in behaviour, skin, fur, eyes, mucous

membranes, occurrence of secretions and excretions and autonomic activity (e.g. lacrimation, piloerection, pupil size, unusual respiratory pattern).

48. Any animal found dead should be removed and disposed of without further data analysis. Any mortality of animals prior to necropsy should be included in the study record together with any apparent reasons for mortality. Any moribund animals should be humanely terminated. Any moribund and subsequently euthanized animals should be included in the study record with apparent reasons for morbidity.

### **Body weight and food consumption**

49. All animals should be weighed daily to the nearest 0.1 g, starting just prior to initiation of treatment, i.e., when the animals are allocated into groups. As an optional measurement, the amount of food consumed during the treatment period may be measured per cage by weighing the feeders. The food consumption results should be expressed in grams per rat per day.

### **Dissection and measurement of tissue and organ weights**

50. Approximately 24 hours after the last administration of the test substance, the rats should be euthanized and exsanguinated according to the normal procedures of the conducting laboratory, and necropsy carried out. The method of humane killing should be recorded in the laboratory report.

51. Ideally, the necropsy order should be randomized across groups to avoid progression directly up or down dose groups that could affect the data. Any findings at necropsy, i.e., pathological changes/visible lesions should be noted and reported.

52. For the intact stimulated weanling males, the five sex accessory tissues (VP, SV, LABC, COW, paired epididymides) are mandatory measurements. Paired testes weight is an optional measurement. All mandatory and optional tissues should be excised, carefully trimmed of excess adhering tissue and fat, and their fresh (unfixed) weights determined to the nearest 0.1 mg without blotting. Each tissue should be handled with particular care to avoid the loss of fluids and to avoid desiccation, which may introduce significant errors and variability by decreasing the recorded weights.

53. Several of the tissues may be very small or difficult to dissect, and this will introduce variability. Previous work has indicated a range of coefficient of variations (CVs) that appears to differ based upon the proficiency of the laboratory. In a few cases, large differences in the absolute weights of the tissues such as the VP and COWS have been observed within a particular laboratory. Therefore, it is important that persons carrying out the dissection of the sex accessory tissues are familiar with standard dissection procedures for these tissues. A standard operating procedures (SOP) manual for dissection has been prepared in 2000 and updated in 2004 by the Lead Laboratory for the Hershberger Validation Programme (23). This document was provided to the laboratories involved in the validation programme. Careful training according to the SOP guide will minimize a potential source of variation in the study.

54. Liver, paired kidney, and paired adrenal weights are optional measurements. Again, tissues should be trimmed free of any adhering fascia and fat. The liver should be weighed and recorded to the nearest 0.1 g, and the paired kidneys and paired adrenals should be weighed and recorded to the nearest 0.1 mg. The liver, kidney and adrenals are not only influenced by androgens; they also provide useful indices of systemic toxicity.

55. Measurement of serum luteinising hormone (LH), follicular stimulating hormone (FSH) and testosterone (T) is optional. Serum T levels are useful to determine if the test substance induces liver

metabolism of testosterone, lowering serum levels. Without the T data, such an effect might appear to be via an antiandrogenic mechanism. LH levels provides information about the ability of an antiandrogen to not only reduce organ weights, but also to affect hypothalamic-pituitary function, which in long term studies can induce testis tumors. FSH is an important hormone for spermatogenesis. Serum T4 and T3 also are optional measures that would provide useful supplemental information about the ability to disrupt thyroid hormone homeostasis. If hormone measurements are to be made, the rats should be anesthetized prior to necropsy and blood taken by cardiac puncture, and the method of anesthesia should be chosen with care so that it does not affect hormone measurement. The method of serum preparation, the source of radioimmunoassay or other measurement kits, the analytical procedures, and the results should be recorded. LH levels should be reported as ng per ml of serum, and T should also be reported as ng per ml of serum.

56. The dissection of the tissues is described as follows with a detailed dissection guide with photographs published as supplementary materials as part of the validation programme (2)(23). A dissection video is also available from the Korea Food and Drug Administration web page (24).

- Open the abdominal skin and wall, exposing the viscera. If the optional organs are weighed, remove and weigh liver to nearest 0.1 g, remove the stomach and intestines, remove and weigh the paired kidneys and paired adrenals to the nearest 0.1 mg. This dissection exposes the bladder and begins the dissection of the mandatory male accessory tissues.
- Each of the two vasa deferentia (which extend from the testes in the scrotal sac to their merger at the urethra at the seminal vesicles and prostate glands) is gently grasped with a blunt forceps and pulled cranially to extract the testis from its scrotal sac through the inguinal ring. By the age at scheduled necropsy, approximately pnd 34, the testes will have descended into the scrotal sacs from the abdominal cavity; testis descent is usually complete in rats by pnd 28. Each testis and the surrounding epididymis are dissected from the vas deferens, taking care not to pierce the thin testicular capsule. Each epididymis (the slightly swollen caput [head] at the cranial pole of the testis, the long, thin tubular corpus [body], and the swollen cauda [tail]) is then dissected from the testis. The paired testes and paired epididymides are then trimmed of any extraneous fat or connective tissue, weighed as pairs to the nearest 0.1 g, and the weights recorded.
- To dissect the VP, separate the bladder from the ventral muscle layer by cutting connective tissue along the midline. Displace the bladder anteriorly towards the seminal vesicles (SV), revealing the left and right lobes of the ventral prostate (covered by a layer of fat). Carefully tease the fat from the right and left lobes of the VP. Gently displace the VP right lobe from the urethra and dissect the lobe from the urethra. While still holding the VP right lobe, gently displace the VP left lobe from the urethra and then dissect; weigh to nearest 0.1 mg and record the weight.
- To dissect the SVCs, displace the bladder caudally, exposing the vas deferens and right and left lobes of the seminal vesicles plus coagulating glands (SVCs). Prevent leakage of fluid by clamping a hemostat at the base of the SVCs, where the vas deferens joins the urethra. Carefully dissect the SVCs, with the hemostat in place trim fat and adnexa away, place in a tared weigh-boat, remove the hemostat, and weigh to the nearest 0.1 mg and record the weight.
- To dissect the levator ani plus bulbocavernosus muscles (LABC), the muscles and the base of the penis are exposed. The LA muscles wrap around the colon, while the anterior LA and BC muscles are attached to the penile bulbs. The skin and adnexa from the perianal region extending from the base of the penis to the anterior end of the anus are removed. The BC muscles are gradually dissected from the penile bulb and tissues. The colon is cut in two and, the full LABC can be dissected and removed. The LABC should be trimmed of fat and adnexa, weighed to the nearest 0.1 mg, and the weight recorded.
- After the LABC has been removed, the round Cowper's or bulbourethral glands (COW) are visible at the base of, and slightly dorsal to, the penile bulbs. Careful dissection is required to

avoid nicking the thin capsule in order to prevent fluid leakage. Weigh the paired COW to the nearest 0.1 mg, and record the weight.

- In addition, if fluid is lost from any gland during the necropsy and dissection, this should be recorded.

57. If the evaluation of each chemical requires necropsy of more animals than is reasonable for a single day, the study start may be staggered on two consecutive days, resulting in the staggering of the necropsy and the related work over two days. If staggered in this manner, one-half of the animals per treatment group should be used per day.

## **REPORTING**

### **Data**

58. Data should be reported individually (i.e. body weight, accessory sex tissue weights, optional measurements and other responses and observations) and for each group of animals (means and standard deviations of all measurement taken). The data should be summarized in tabular form. The data should show the number of animals at the start of the test, the number of animals found dead during the test or found showing signs of toxicity, a description of the signs of toxicity observed, including time of onset, duration and severity.

59. A final report should include:

### **Testing facility:**

- Name of facility, location
- Study director and other personnel and their study responsibilities
- Dates the study began and ended, i.e., first day of test substance administration and last day of necropsy, respectively.

### **Test substance:**

- Source, lot/batch number, identity, purity, full address of the supplier and characterization of the test substance(s)
- Physical nature and, where relevant, physicochemical properties;
- Storage conditions and the method and frequency of dilution preparation
- Any data generated on stability
- Any analyses of dosing solutions/suspensions.

### **Vehicle:**

- Characterization of the vehicle (identity, supplier and lot #)
- Justification of the vehicle choice (if other than water)

### **Test animals and animal husbandry procedures:**

- Species/strain used
- Source or supplier of animals, including full address
- Number and age of animals supplied
- Housing conditions (temperature, lighting, and so on)
- Diet (name, type, supplier, lot number, content and if known, phytoestrogens levels)

- Bedding (name, type, supplier, content)
- Caging conditions and number of animals per cage;

### **Assay Conditions**

- Rationale for the choice of the weanling model;
- Individual weights of animals at the start of the study (to nearest 0.1 g);
- Randomization process and a record of the assignment to vehicle, reference, test substance groups, and cages
- Mean and standard deviation of the body weights for each group for each weigh day throughout the study;
- Rationale for dose selection
- Route of administration of test substance and rationale for the choice of exposure route
- If an assay for antiandrogenicity, the TP treatment (dose and volume),
- Test substance treatment (dose and volume),
- Time of dosing
- Necropsy procedures, including means of exsanguinations and any anesthesia
- If serum analyses are performed, details of the method should be supplied. For example, if RIA is used, the RIA procedure, source of RIA kits, kit expiration dates, procedure for scintillation counting, and standardization should be reported.

### **Results:**

- Daily observations for each animal during dosing, including:
- Body weights (to the nearest 0.1 g),
- Clinical signs (if any),
- Any measurement or notes of food consumption.
- Necropsy observations for each animal, including:
- Date of necropsy,
- Animal treatment group,
- Animal ID,
- Prosector,
- Time of day necropsy and dissection are performed,
- Animal age,
- Final body weight at necropsy, noting any statistically significant increase or decrease,
- Order of animal exsanguination and dissection at necropsy,
- Weights of five mandatory androgen dependent tissues:
- Ventral prostate (to the nearest 0.1 mg)
- Seminal vesicles plus coagulating glands, including fluid (paired, to nearest 0.1 mg)
- Levator ani plus bulbocavernosus muscle complex (to nearest 0.1 mg)
- Cowper's glands (fresh weight – paired, to nearest 0.1 mg).
- Epididymides (paired, to the nearest 0.1 mg)
- Weights of optional tissues, if performed:
- Liver (to nearest 0.1 g)
- Kidney (paired, to nearest 0.1 mg)
- Adrenal (paired, to nearest 0.1 mg)
- Testes (paired, to nearest 0.1 mg)
- General remarks and comments
  - Analyses of serum hormones, if performed:
    - Serum LH (optional – ng per ml of serum)
    - Serum T (optional – ng per ml of serum)

- Serum T3 and T4 (optional -  $\mu\text{g/dL}$  and
- Serum FSH (optional - in Miu/mL [milli-International Units per milli-Liter])
- General remarks and comments

**Data summarization:** Data should be summarized in tabular form containing the sample size for each group, the mean of the value, and the standard error of the mean or the standard deviation. Tables should include necropsy body weights, body weight changes from the beginning of dosing until necropsy, mandatory accessory sex tissues weights, and any optional organ weights.

### **Analysis of results**

60. Necropsy body and organ weights should be statistically analyzed for characteristics such as homogeneity of variance with appropriate data transformations as needed. Treatment groups should be compared to a control group using techniques such as ANOVA followed by pairwise comparisons (e.g. Dunnett's one tailed test) and the criterion for statistical difference, for example,  $p \leq 0.05$ . Those groups attaining statistical significance should be identified. "Relative organ" weights could be helpful for the evaluation of changes in those tissues (e.g. prostate, seminal vesicles) that readily responding to decrements in body weight.

61. For androgen agonism, the control should be the vehicle-only test group. The mode of action characteristics of a test substance can lead to different relative responses amongst the tissues, for example trenbolone, which cannot be 5 alpha-reduced, has more pronounced effects on the LABC than does TP. A statistically significant change in weight in the relevant direction ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) in any two or more of 6 androgen responsive tissues (i.e. increase in weight of VP, LABC, CG, SVCG or epididymides or a decrease in weight of testis) should be considered a positive androgen agonist result and all the target tissues should display some degree of change in the appropriate direction. Combined evaluation of all target tissue responses could be achieved using appropriate multivariate data analysis. This could improve the analysis, especially in cases where only a single tissue gives a statistically significant response.

62. For androgen antagonism, the control should be the reference androgen (testosterone propionate only) test group. The mode of action characteristics of a test substance can lead to different relative responses amongst the tissues, for example 5 $\alpha$ -reductase inhibitors, like finasteride, have more pronounced effects on the ventral prostate than other tissues as compared to potent AR antagonists, like flutamide. A statistically significant change in weight in the relevant direction ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) in any two or more of 6 androgen responsive tissues (i.e. reduced weight of VP, LABC, CG, SVCG or epididymis or a increased weight of testis) relative to TP treatment alone should be considered a positive androgen antagonist result and all the target tissues should display some degree of change in the appropriate direction. Combined evaluation of all target tissue responses could be achieved using appropriate multivariate data analysis. This could improve the analysis, especially in cases where only a single tissue gives a statistically significant response.

63. Data should be summarized in tabular form containing the mean, standard error of the mean (standard deviation would also be acceptable) and sample size for each group. Individual data tables should also be included. The individual values, mean, SE (SD) and CV values for the control data should be examined to determine if they meet acceptable criteria for consistency with expected historical values. CVs that exceed CV values listed in Table 1, (see paragraph 29) for each organ weight should determine if there are errors in data recording or entry or if the laboratory has not yet mastered accurate dissection of the androgen-dependent tissues and further training/practice is warranted. Generally, CVs (the standard deviation divided by the mean organ weight) are reproducible from lab to lab and study to study. Data presented should include at least the following: ventral prostate, seminal vesicles, levator ani plus bulbocavernosus, Cowper's glands, epididymides, liver, and body weights and body weight change from

the beginning of dosing until necropsy. Data also may be presented after covariance adjustment for body weight, but this should not replace presentation of the unadjusted data. In addition, if preputial separation (PPS) occurs in any of the groups, the incidence of PPS should be recorded and statistically compared to the control group using Fisher Exact test.

64. When verifying the computer data entries with the original data sheets for accuracy, organ weight values that are not biologically plausible or vary by more than three standard deviations from that treatment group means should be carefully scrutinized and may need to be discarded, likely being recording errors.

65. Comparison of study results with OECD CV values (in Table 1) is often an important step in interpretation as to the validity of the study results. Historical data for vehicle control groups should be maintained in the laboratory. Historical data for responses to positive reference substances, such as TP and FT, should also be maintained in the laboratory. Laboratories may also periodically test the response to known weak androgen agonists and antagonists and maintain these data. These data can be compared to available OECD data to ensure that the laboratory's methods yield sufficient statistical precision and power.

**ANNEX 1**  
**DEFINITIONS**

**Androgenic** is a term used to describe a positive influence on the growth of androgen-dependent tissues

**Antiandrogenic** is the capability of a chemical to suppress the action of TP in a mammalian organism.

**Date of birth** is postnatal day 0.

**Dose** is the amount of test substance administered. For the Hershberger Bioassay, the dose is expressed as weight of test substance per unit body weight of test animal per day (e.g. mg/kg body weight/day).

**Dosage** is a general term comprising of dose, its frequency and the duration of dosing.

**Moribund** is a term used to describe an animal in a dying state, i.e., near the point of death.

**Postnatal day X** is the Xth day of life after the day of birth.

**Sensitivity** is the capability of a test method to correctly identify chemicals having the property that is being tested for.

**Specificity** is the capability of a test method to correctly identify chemicals not having the property that is being tested for.

**Validation** is a scientific process designed to characterize the operational requirements and limitations of a test method and to demonstrate its reliability and relevance for a particular purpose.

**ANNEX 2**

**Note:** Document prepared by the Secretariat of the Test Guidelines Programme based on the agreement reached at the 6th Meeting of the EDTA Task Force

**OECD Conceptual Framework for the Testing and Assessment of Endocrine Disrupting Chemicals**

<p><b>Level 1</b></p> <p>Sorting &amp; prioritization based upon existing information</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- physical &amp; chemical properties, e.g., MW, reactivity, volatility, biodegradability,</li><li>- human &amp; environmental exposure, e.g., production volume, release, use patterns</li><li>- hazard, e.g., available toxicological data</li></ul>	
<p><b>Level 2</b></p> <p><i>In vitro</i> assays providing mechanistic data</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- ER, AR, TR receptor binding affinity</li><li>- Transcriptional activation</li><li>- Aromatase and steroidogenesis <i>in vitro</i></li><li>- Aryl hydrocarbon receptor recognition/binding</li><li>- QSARs</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>-High Through Put Prescreens</li><li>- Thyroid function</li><li>- Fish hepatocyte VTG assay</li><li>- Others (as appropriate)</li></ul>
<p><b>Level 3</b></p> <p><i>In vivo</i> assays providing data about single endocrine Mechanisms and effects</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Uterotrophic assay (estrogenic related)</li><li>- Hershberger assay (androgenic related)</li><li>- Non -receptor mediated hormone function</li><li>- Others (e.g. thyroid)</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Fish VTG (vitellogenin) assay (estrogenic related)</li></ul>
<p><b>Level 4</b></p> <p><i>In vivo</i> assays providing data about multiple endocrine Mechanisms and effects</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- enhanced OECD 407 (endpoints based on endocrine mechanisms)</li><li>- male and female pubertal assays</li><li>- adult intact male assay</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Fish gonadal histopathology assay</li><li>- Frog metamorphosis assay</li></ul>
<p><b>Level 5</b></p> <p><i>In vivo</i> assays providing data on effects from endocrine &amp; other mechanisms</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- 1-generation assay (TG415 enhanced)<sup>1</sup></li><li>- 2-generation assay (TG416 enhanced)<sup>1</sup></li><li>- reproductive screening test (TG421 enhanced)<sup>1</sup></li><li>- combined 28 day/reproduction screening test (TG 422 enhanced)<sup>1</sup></li></ul> <p><small><sup>1</sup> Potential enhancements will be considered by VMG mamm</small></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Partial and full life cycle assays in fish, birds, amphibians &amp; invertebrates (developmental and reproduction)</li></ul>

VMG mamm: Validation Management Group on Mammalian Testing and Assessment

### **Notes to the Framework**

**Note 1:** Entering at all levels and exiting at all levels is possible and depends upon the nature of existing information needs for hazard and risk assessment purposes

**Note 2:** In level 5, ecotoxicology should include endpoints that indicate mechanisms of adverse effects, and potential population damage

**Note 3:** When a multimodal model covers several of the single endpoint assays, that model would replace the use of those single endpoint assays

**Note 4:** The assessment of each chemical should be based on a case by case basis, taking into account all available information, bearing in mind the function of the framework levels.

**Note 5:** The framework should not be considered as all inclusive at the present time. At levels 3,4 and 5 it includes assays that are either available or for which validation is under way. With respect to the latter, these are provisionally included. Once developed and validated, they will be formally added to the framework.

**Note 6:** Level 5 should not be considered as including definitive tests only. Tests included at that level are considered to contribute to general hazard and risk **assessment**.

-

**LITERATURE**

- (1) OECD (1998), Report of the First Meeting of the OECD Endocrine Disrupter Testing and Assessment (EDTA) Task Force, 10th-11th March 1998, ENV/MC/CHEM/RA(98)5, internal working document, Environment Directorate, OECD, Paris.
- (2) Dorfman RI. 1962. Standard methods adopted by official organization. Academic Press, NY.
- (3) Gray LE Jr, Furr J and Ostby JS. 2005. Hershberger assay to investigate the effects of endocrine disrupting compounds with androgenic and antiandrogenic activity in castrate-immature male rats. In: *Current Protocols in Toxicology* 16.9.1-16.9.15. J Wiley and Sons Inc.
- (4) OECD (2006), Final OECD report of the initial work towards the validation of the rat Hershberger assay: Phase 1, Androgenic response to testosterone propionate and anti-androgenic effects of flutamide, Series on Testing and Assessment No. 62 , ENV/JM/TG/EDTA(2002)1/REV2/ADD1, OECD, Paris.
- (5) OECD (2006), Report of the OECD Validation of the Rat Hershberger Bioassay: Phase 2: Testing of Androgen Agonists, Androgen Antagonists and a 5 $\alpha$ -Reductase Inhibitor in Dose Response Studies by Multiple Laboratories, ENV/JM/TG/EDTA(2003)4/ ADD1, OECD, Paris.
- (6) OECD (2007), Report of the Validation of the Rat Hershberger Assay: Phase 3: Coded Testing of Androgen Agonists, Androgen Antagonists and Negative Reference Chemicals by Multiple Laboratories. Surgical Castrate Model Protocol, Series on Testing and Assessment No. 73, ENV/JM/MONO(2007)20, OECD, Paris.
- (7) Owens, W, Zeiger E, Walker M, Ashby J, Onyon L, Gray, Jr, LE. 2006. The OECD programme to validate the rat Hershberger bioassay to screen compounds for in vivo androgen and antiandrogen responses. Phase 1: Use of a potent agonist and a potent antagonist to test the standardized protocol. *Env. Health Persp.* 114:1265-1269.
- (8) Owens W, Gray LE, Zeiger E, Walker M, Yamasaki K, Ashby J, Jacob E. 2007. The OECD program to validate the rat Hershberger bioassay to screen compounds for in vivo androgen and antiandrogen responses: phase 2 dose-response studies. *Environ Health Perspect.* 115(5):671-8.
- (9) Korenchevsky V. 1932. The assay of testicular hormone preparations. *Biochem J* 26:413-422.
- (10) Korenchevsky V, Dennison M, Schalit R. 1932. The response of castrated male rats to the injection of the testicular hormone. *Biochem J* 26:1306-1314.
- (11) Eisenberg E, Gordan GS. 1950. The levator ani muscle of the rat as an index of myotrophic activity of steroidal hormones. *J Pharmacol Exp Therap* 99:38-44.
- (12) Eisenberg E, Gordan GS, Elliott HW. 1949. Testosterone and tissue respiration of the castrate male rat with a possible test for myotrophic activity. *Endocrinology* 45:113-119.
- (13) Hershberger L, Shipley E, Meyer R. 1953. Myotrophic activity of 19-nortestosterone and other steroids determined by modified levator ani muscle method. *Proc Soc Exp Biol Med* 83:175-180.
- (14) Hilgar AG, Vollmer EP. 1964. Endocrine bioassay data: Androgenic and myogenic. Washington DC: United States Public Health Service.

- (15) Dorfman RI. 1969. Androgens and anabolic agents. In: Methods in Hormone Research, volume IIA. (Dorfman RI, ed.) New York:Academic Press, 151-220.
- (16) Massaro EJ. 2002. Handbook of Neurotoxicology, volume I. New York: Humana Press, p 38.
- (17) Monosson E, Kelce WR, Lambright C, Ostby J, Gray LE Jr. 1999. Peripubertal exposure to the antiandrogenic fungicide, vinclozolin, delays puberty, inhibits the development of androgen-dependent tissues, and alters androgen receptor function in the male rat. *Toxicol Ind Health*. 1999 Jan-Mar;15(1-2):65-79.
- (18) OECD (2000), Guidance document on the recognition, assessment and use of clinical signs as humane endpoints for experimental animals used in safety evaluation, Series on Testing and Assessment No 19, ENV/JM/MONO(2000)7, OECD, Paris.
- (19) Joubert Y, Tobin C, Lebart MC. 1994. Testosterone-induced masculinization of the rat levator ani muscle during puberty. *Dev Biol*. 1994 Mar;162(1):104-10.
- (20) OECD (1982), Principles of Good Laboratory Practice, ISBN 92-64-12367-9, OECD, Paris.
- (21) OECD (2001), Acute oral toxicity – up-and-down procedure, Test Guideline No. 425, Guidelines for the testing of chemicals, OECD, Paris.
- (22) OECD (2001), Guidance document on acute oral toxicity, Series on Testing and Assessment No. 24, ENV/JM/MONO(2001)4, OECD, Paris.
- (23) Supplemental materials from Owens et al. 2006. The OECD programme to validate the rat Hershberger bioassay to screen compounds for in vivo androgen and antiandrogen responses. Phase 1: Use of a potent agonist and a potent antagonist to test the standardized protocol. *Env. Health Persp.* 114:1265-1269. See, section II, The dissection guidance provided to the laboratories: <http://www.ehponline.org/docs/2006/8751/suppl.pdf>.
- (24) Korea Food and Drug Administration. Visual reference guide on Hershberger assay procedure, including a dissection video. [http://rndmoa.kfda.go.kr/endocrine/reference/education\\_fr.html](http://rndmoa.kfda.go.kr/endocrine/reference/education_fr.html).
- (25) OECD (2008) Background Review Document on the Rodent Hershberger Bioassay, Series on Testing and Assessment No. 90, ENV/JM/MONO(2008)17, OECD, Paris.
- (26) OECD (2009), Report of the Validation of Hershberger Bioassay (Weanling Model), Series on Testing and Assessment No. 108, ENV/JM/MONO(2009)13, OECD, Paris.
- (27) OECD (2009), Hershberger Bioassay in Rats: A Short-Term Screening Assay for (Anti)Androgenic Properties, Test Guideline No. 441, Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, OECD, Paris: [www.oecd.org/env/testguidelines](http://www.oecd.org/env/testguidelines)